

VARIANTS, MISPRINTS AND A
BIBLIOGRAPHICAL INDEX
FOR *INTRODUCTION TO
MATHEMATICAL PHILOSOPHY*

KENNETH BLACKWELL
Russell Research Centre / McMaster U.
Hamilton, ON, Canada L8S 4L6
BLACKWK@MCMASTER.CA

VARIANTS, ETC. BETWEEN MANUSCRIPT AND FIRST PRINTING

Russell wrote the manuscript of *Introduction to Mathematical Philosophy* (London: Allen & Unwin, 1919) in prison. He began it in May 1918 and finished in July. He sent it out for typing. The proofreading of the typescript was done by Dorothy Wrinch, who had studied mathematical logic with Russell. She reported to him that she corrected many errors in the typescript (which is not extant) and sent it on to the publisher. Russell saw the text again in proof (also not extant), corrected it and wrote the index. Yet the first impression (March 1919) had a large number of significant errors, some serious (e.g., a line and several ϕ 's omitted), with many persisting through the twelfth printing (1967), the last in Russell's lifetime. They are corrected in Kevin Klement's Online Corrected Edition (2009). Still unidentified are the "half sheet" of "entirely trivial" corrections for the fourth printing (1930).

Verbal changes in *IMP* between the manuscript and first printing follow. Also included are corrections of typographical errors and a record of paragraphing and italicization changes. Alterations within the manuscript are excluded. They are easily found on the manuscript in the Bertrand Russell Archives.

"OCE" refers to the Online Corrected Edition, which exists in several electronic formats. OCE introduces corrections and new emendations to the wording and symbols and to minor features of presentation. See the appendix to any format at <http://people.umass.edu/klement/russell-imp.html>.

Lines of type are counted from the top of the page, excluding running heads (whose errors resulting from broken type are not reported). Page and line

numbers are constant throughout the Allen & Unwin, Routledge and Spokesman printings, although no collation was made of posthumous printings.

Thanks are due to Kevin Klement, John Ongley and Christof Grüber for helping to make these lists more accurate.

- 8: 18 ¶This IMP₁₊, OCE] This MS
 8: 19 A series IMP₁₊, OCE] Series MS
 18: 35 such as that IMP₁₊, OCE] such that MS
 19: 2 collection IMP₁₊, OCE] collection of classes MS
 21: 33 not less than 1000 MS, OCE] less than 1000 IMP₁₊
 22: 19–20 posterity ... is IMP₁₊, OCE] posterity ... are MS
 27: 8 a principle IMP₁₊, OCE] principle MS
 27n. *Method*, chap. iv. IMP₁₊, OCE] Method. MS
 35: 14 inductive integers. IMP₁₊, OCE] integers. MS
 39: 1 people IMP₁₊, OCE] the people MS
 40: 20 ¶It will IMP₁₊, OCE] It will MS
 40: 31 four terms IMP₁₊, OCE] *four* terms MS
 43: 2 converse domain MS, OCE] converse IMP₁₊
 46: 4 described IMP₁₊, OCE] describes MS
 50: 20 ¶Cases IMP₁₊, OCE] Cases MS
 53: 32 ¶We may MS, OCE] We may IMP₁₊
 56: 10 “Relation-numbers” are IMP₁₊, OCE] “Relation-numbers” is MS
 58n. open series. *Modern Mathematics ... Geometry*). IMP₁₊, OCE] open series. MS
 64: 1 the square root of -1 IMP₁₊, OCE] minus one) MS
 64: 17 positive or negative IMP₁₊, OCE] positive and negative MS
 66: 26 purpose IMP₁₊, OCE] purposes MS
 70: 6 there IMP₁₊, OCE] they MS
 75: 9–10 definitions IMP₁₊, OCE] definition MS
 76: 20 Dr Whitehead’s IMP₁₊, OCE] Whitehead’s MS
 81: 32 The kind of series which is called a “progression” has IMP₁₊, OCE] This kind of series, which is called a “progression”, has MS
 82: 6 progression IMP₁₊, OCE] progression MS
 83: 9 progressions IMP₁₊, OCE] progressions MS
 88: 4 used IMP₁₊, OCE] uses MS
 90: 2 various series IMP₁₊, OCE] series MS
 91: 11 are even IMP₁₊, OCE] even MS
 98: 2 simplest and most IMP₁₊, OCE] simplest MS
 98: 25–6 “*the* limit” (if any). IMP₁₊, OCE] “*the* limit (if any)”. MS
 98: 29 limit or maximum MS, OCE] limits or maximum IMP₁₊
 99: 22 ¶If a class IMP₁₊, OCE] If a class MS
 99: 28 “upper limiting points” IMP₁₊, OCE] “limiting points” MS
 100: 8 no terms except the last IMP₁₊, OCE] no terms MS
 105: 13 without definite IMP₁₊, OCE] with definite MS
 110: 14 limit of its values MS, OCE] limit of its value IMP₁₊
 113: 32 advantage MS, OCE] advantages IMP₁₊
 114: 8 his wishes IMP₁₊, OCE] all his wishes MS
 121: 8 Let y be MS, OCE] ¶Let y be IMP₁₊
 122n. *257–258. IMP₁₊, OCE] *257 and *258. MS
 123n. Zermelo’s axiom. IMP₁₊, OCE] “Zermelo’s axiom”. MS
 124: 35 *one* correlator of α with β , and similarly for every other pair. This requires a *selection* MS, OCE] *one selection* IMP₁₊

129: 21 γ_1 MS, OCE] y_1 IMP₁₊
 129: 21 γ_2 MS, OCE] y_2 IMP₁₊
 129: 22 γ_3 MS, OCE] y_3 IMP₁₊
 131: 21 ¶ The way MS, OCE] The way
 IMP₁₊
 131: 22 follows. One MS, OCE] fol-
 lows:—One IMP₁₊
 137n.1 ¹“Mathematical ... 262. IMP₁₊,
 OCE] ¹ MS *<note was left blank>*
 137n.2 ²“Les paradoxes ... 650. IMP₁₊,
 OCE] ² MS *<note was left blank>*
 138n.2 ³Dedekind ... 66. IMP₁₊, OCE]
<note was part of n.1 in MS>
 139: 14 Socrates, and then the idea of the
 idea of Socrates, MS, OCE] Socrates,
 IMP₁₊
 147: 29 negation IMP₁₊, OCE] negative
 MS
 148n.1 ¹“Trans. ... 488. IMP₁₊, OCE] ¹
 MS *<note was left blank>*
 151: 26 non-formal IMP₁₊, OCE]
 non-formal MS
 152: 28 true.” From IMP₁₊, OCE] true.”
<new line> From MS
 153: 33 That IMP₁₊, OCE] This MS
 153n. ¹See *Mind* ... 249–247. IMP₁₊,
 OCE] ¹ MS *<note was left blank>*
 157: 5 talk IMP₁₊, OCE] tak MS
 160nn. IMP₁₊, OCE] *<the 2 notes appear*
in MS in reverse order but Russell added
an arrow to switch them, positioning n.1
at the end of the par. on 161, and n.2
where n.1 is, after “is always true.”, in-
stead of after “is sometimes true.”>
 161: 2 type to which ϕx MS, OCE] type
 to which x IMP₁₊
 162: 15 significant IMP₁₊, OCE]
 significant MS
 167: 9 $\delta\epsilon$ IMP₁₊, OCE] $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$ MS *<the*
acute accent made it the wrong word>
 168: 7–8 anyone IMP₁₊, OCE] any one
 MS *<also at 172: 21>*
 169n. ¹Untersuchungen ... 1904. IMP₁₊,
 OCE] ¹ MS *<note was left blank>*
 175: 24 ϕx MS, OCE] x IMP₁₊
 176: 33 propositional function ϕx MS,
 OCE] propositional function x
 IMP₁₊
 178: 13 And generally IMP₁₊, OCE]

¶And generally MS
 186: 35 a function ϕx MS, OCE] a func-
 tion x IMP₁₊
 187: 1–2 functions of the class deter-
 mined by ϕx MS, OCE] functions of
 the class determined by x IMP₁₊
 188: 13 assert that ϕx IMP₁₊, OCE] as-
 sert that ϕ MS
 189: 10 “typical Frenchman” MS, OCE]
 “typical” Frenchman IMP₁₊ *<MS is*
ambiguous on whether “Frenchman” is
inserted before or after the closing
quotes>
 189: 11 “possessing MS, OCE] “possess-
 ing IMP₁₊
 191: 34 stated IMP₁₊, OCE] adduced MS
 192: 32 in this IMP₁₊, OCE] of this MS
 194: 13 symbolic IMP₁₊, OCE] deductive
 MS
 194: 27 indicate IMP₁₊, OCE] point out
 MS
 195: 34 a number of separate studies,
 OCE] number of separate studies MS]
 numbers of separate studies IMP₁₊
 197: 19 *Socrates* MS, OCE] Socrates
 IMP₁₊
 197: 24 are β 's MS, OCE] are β IMP₁₊
 198: 24 xRy MS, OCE] $x R y$, IMP₁₊
<also at 198: 35, 199: 3 & II, 201: 32>
 200: 31 words for forms MS, OCE] word
 for forms IMP₁₊
 205: 7 seem MS, OCE] seem IMP₁₊
 205: 18 symbols. Since ... express, it is
 IMP₁₊, OCE] symbols, since ... ex-
 press. It is MS
 206: 9 written. IMP₁₊, OCE] written.
<new line> THE END MS

Following are corrections made to Rus-
 sell's text between IMP₁ and subsequent
 printings. Those he submitted in 1930 (for
 the 4th printing) remain unidentified,
 though they may not have included any-
 thing new. OCE incorporates all known
 corrections. These corrections came to
 light a letter from A. F. T. Prudon, 11
 Sept. 1919 in the Russell Archives; a list
 Russell sent to Allen & Unwin about Feb.
 1920; G. A. Pfeiffer's review of the book in

Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 27 (1920): 81–90; and Russell's own copy of *IMP* (2nd printing, April 1920).

6: 3 every IMP_{2+} , OCE] any MS , IMP_1

33: 7 symmetrical MS , IMP_{2+} , OCE] asymmetrical IMP_1

36: 25–6 aliorelative MS , IMP_{2+} , OCE] aliorelation IMP_1

40: 2 and b , a lies— IMP_{2+} , OCE] b and a lies— IMP_1] and b lies a ; MS

99: 4 segment MS , IMP_{2+} , OCE] sequent IMP_1

115: 8 relation P to z MS , IMP_{12+} , OCE] relation P to x IMP_{1-11}

160: 19 an immortal MS , IMP_{2+} , OCE] a mortal MS , IMP_1

164: 14 conversion MS , IMP_{2+} , OCE] conversation IMP_1

171: 5 propositional function ϕx MS , IMP_{2+} , OCE] propositional function x IMP_1

173: 13 men. MS , IMP_{5+} , OCE] man. IMP_{1-4}

186: 17 defined by MS , IMP_{2+} , OCE] defined of IMP_1

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL INDEX

Russell's bibliographical references in *Introduction to Mathematical Philosophy* include some unique references for his books on mathematical logic. They are the publications by Clifford, Lewis, Meinong, Peano, Poincaré, Veblen and Zermelo. See my bibliographical indexes to *The Principles of Mathematics* and *Principia Mathematica in Russell* (20 [2000]: 141–50, and 25 [2005]: 77–80, respectively). As well, *IMP* is the first book in which Russell cited the works by Nicod and Sheffer, although he had alluded to them in “The Philosophy of Logical Atomism” (*Papers* 8: 187). “(RL)” means the work is in Russell's library at McMaster. (Visit his library at <http://digitalrussell.mcmaster.ca>.)

Bolzano, Bernard

— *Paradoxien des Unendlichen*, ed. F. Přihonský (Leipzig: C. H. Reclam, 1851). (RL, 1889 edn.) 138n.

Browning, Robert

— [“A Grammarian's Funeral”. In his *Men and Women* (1855).] 167

Cantor, Georg

— “Ueber eine elementare Frage der Mannigfaltigkeitslehre”, *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung* 1 (1891): 75–8. 85n.

Clifford, W. K.

— *The Common Sense of the Exact Sciences*, 2nd edn. (London: Kegan Paul, Trench, 1886). (RL) 76

Dedekind, Richard

— *Stetigkeit und irrationale Zahlen*, 2nd edn. (Brunswick: F. Vieweg, 1892). (RL) 69n.

— *Was sind und was sollen die Zahlen?* 3rd edn. (Brunswick: Vieweg, 1893; 1st edn., 1888). No. 66. (RL) 138n.

Euclid

— [*Elements*.] 67

Frege, Gottlob

— *Begriffsschrift, eine der arithmetischen nachgebildete Formelsprache des reinen Denkens* (Halle: L. Nebert, 1879). (RL) 25n.2

— *Grundgesetze der Arithmetik*, 2 vols. (Jena: H. Pohle, 1893, 1903). (RL) 11n.

— *Die Grundlagen der Arithmetik* (Breslau: Wilhelm Koebner, 1884). (RL) 11

Homer

— *Iliad*. (RL) 179

— *Odyssey*. 179

Lewis, C. I.

— “Implication and the Algebra of

- Logic”, *Mind* 21 (1912): 522–31. 153
- “The Calculus of Strict Implication”, *Mind* 23 (1914): 240–7. 153
- Meinong, Alexius
- “Über Gegenstandstheorie”, in Meinong, ed., *Untersuchungen zur Gegenstandstheorie und Psychologie* (Leipzig: Barth, 1904). 169
- Nicod, Jean
- “A Reduction in the Number of the Primitive Propositions of Logic”, *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 19, no. 1 (Jan. 1917): 32–41. 148, 151n.
- Peano, Giuseppe
- “Sui fondamenti della Geometria”, *Rivista di matematica* 4 (1894): 51–90. (RL) 39n.
- Plato
- *Parmenides*, in *The Dialogues of Plato*, ed. B. Jowett, 2nd edn., 5 vols. (Oxford: Clarendon P., 1875). (RL) 138
- Poincaré, Henri
- *Science and Method*, Preface by Russell (London: Nelson, 1914). 27n.
- Russell, Bertrand
- *The Principles of Mathematics* (Cambridge: Cambridge U.P., 1903). 25n.1, 39n., 41n., 73n., 76n., 137, 145
- “Les Paradoxes de la logique”, *Revue de métaphysique et de morale* 14 (1906): 627–50. 137
- “Mathematical Logic as Based on the Theory of Types”, *American Journal of Mathematics* 30 (1908): 222–62. 136
- *Our Knowledge of the External World* (London and Chicago: Open Court, 1914). 106
- [“On the Experience of Time”], *The Monist* 25 (1915): 212–33. 106
- Scott, Sir Walter
- *Waverley, or, 'Tis Sixty Years Since* (Edinburgh: J. Ballantyne, 1814). (RL, 1871 edn.) 173–8
- Shakespeare, William
- *Hamlet*. (RL) 169
- Sheffer, H. M.
- “A Set of Five Independent Postulates for Boolean Algebras, with Application to Logical Constants”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 14 (1913): 481–8. (RL) 148
- Veblen, Oswald
- “The Foundations of Geometry”, in J. W. A. Young, ed., *Monographs on Topics of Modern Mathematics Relevant to the Elementary Field* (New York: Longmans, 1911). 58n.
- Wells, H. G.
- [“The Man Who Could Work Miracles”. 1898.] 114
- Whitehead, A. N.
- *A Treatise on Universal Algebra with Applications*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge: Cambridge U. P., 1898). (Listed but didn’t arrive with RL) 76
- and Bertrand Russell. *Principia Mathematica*, 3 vols. (Cambridge: Cambridge U.P., 1910, 1912, 1913). (On p. 2n. Vol. II is said to be 1911.) 2n. 23n. (*110) 64 (*300, esp. *303) 73n. (*210–14, *310ff.) 82n.1 (*123) 95 (*172–6) 107n. (*230–4) 119 (*80ff., *114) 122n. (1: *88, 3: *257–8) 133n. (2: *120ff., 3: *303ff.) 136 (1: Intro., Chap. 2; 1: *12 and *20; 2: Prefatory Statement) 145 (“passim”) 148, 149, 150 151n. (re omission) 160n. (1: *9) 188 188n.1 (1: 75–84, *20) 188n.2 (Intro., Chap. 2; *12) 195 203n. (“defect in logical purity”)
- Zermelo, Ernst
- “Beweis, dass jede Menge wohlgeordnet werden kann”, *Mathematische Annalen* 59 (1904): 514–16. 123n.